











Comparisons of 3.8 Sigma and Six	Sigma Defect Examples
3.8 Sigma (99% Good)	Six Sigma (99.99966% 6σ)
200,000 wrong drug prescriptions per year	• 680 wrong prescriptions per year
5,000 incorrect surgical operations per week	 88 incorrect operations per week
More than 15,000 newborn babies accidentally dropped per year	• 5 newborn babies dropped per year
2 short or long landings at major airports per day	 Less than 1 short or long landing every 8 years
20,000 articles of mail lost per hour	• 7 articles lost per hour

Comparisons of Old (Traditional) and New (Lean Six Sigma) Methods				
Problem	Old methods	New methods		
Design	Product performance	Product producibility		
Analysis	Experience based	Data based		
Issue	Fixing problems	Preventing problems		
Manufacturing/ Molding	Trial & error process	Robust design process		
Inventory level	High production quantity	Low production quantity as needed		
People	Cost to company	Asset to company		
Management	Cost & time	Quality & time		
Employee goal	Company	Customer		
Product engineering	Little input from customer	High input from customer		
Quality focus	Product	Process		
Dominant process factors—selection	Apply one factor at a time	Apply design of experiment		
Process improvement	Robotic technique	Optimization technique		
Proving	Experience based	Statistically based		
Company outlook	Short-term plan	Long-term plan		
Customer satisfaction	Production at statistical acceptance quality level	Fewer defects, when and what quantity customer wants		
External relationship	Price relationship	Long-term relationship		
Layout	Functional	Cell type		
Production schedules	Forecast	Customer order		
Manufacturing cost	Continuously rising	Stable and decreasing		





Figure 1-2. Tier-one supplier results from Lean Six Sigma

The Roadmap to Higher Shareholder Value

Lean Six Sigma is a methodology that maximizes shareholder value by achieving the fastest rate of improvement in customer satisfaction, cost, quality, process speed, and invested capital.

The fusion of Lean and Six Sigma is required because:

- Lean cannot bring a process under statistical control.
- Six Sigma alone cannot dramatically improve process speed or reduce invested capital.







perating Margin	from 5.4% to 13.8%
apital Turnover	from 2.8 to 3.7
OIC	from 10% to 33%
nterprise Value	increased 225%
BITDA	increased 300%
conomic Profit = ROIC % - WACC %	from -2% to 21%
Manufacturing Lead Time	from 14 days to 2 days
Vork-in-Process Inventory Turns	from 23 to 67 turns per year
Dn-Time Delivery	from 80% to > 99.7%
Juality Performance (External CTQ)	from 3o to 6o

Table 1-1. Operational and economic benefits of Lean Six Sigma seen by the tier-one supplier

	% of Revenue		% Cost
	Current	Future	Reduction
Revenue	100%	100%	
Direct Costs			
Material	30%	28.5%	5%
Labor	10%	10.0%	0%
Overhead & Quality	25%	20.0%	20%
Cost of Goods Sold	65%	58.5%	10%
Gross Profit	35%	41.5%	
General & Administrative	10%	10%	0%
Marketing	10%	10%	0%
Interest			
Other	5%	5%	0%
Operating Profit	10%	16.5%	

....

...

The Lean Six Sigma Value Proposition

Table 1-2. Lean Six Sigma value proposition





The Lean Six Sigma Value Proposition

- An example of a Six Sigma successes is Motorola Corporation, which increased net income from \$2.3 billion in 1978 to \$8.3 billion in 1988, using the Six Sigma program. As a result, Motorola received the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award by President Reagan in 1988. The award is presented to the industries that become quality role models for others. GE also implemented Six Sigma in the mid-1990s in a fi ve-year program and boosted its profits by a substantial amount. By the year 2002 GE had achieved \$4 billion in savings per year. Other companies that benefit from Six Sigma are Allied Signal, Inc.; Polaroid Corporation; Asea Brown Boveri Power Transformer Company; and DuPont.
- At three sigma the cost of quality is 25 to 40% of sales revenue. At Six Sigma it reduces cost of quality to less than 1% of sales revenue. In fact, Lean Six Sigma is the epitome of quality and should be adopted by all manufacturing companies to remain in business. Therefore, one must change measurement of quality in parts per hundred (percentages) to parts per million. This has changed the makeup and culture of industries that adopted Lean Six Sigma.

Lean Six Sigma and MRP

One reason why Lean Six Sigma can deliver results faster is that it uses data stored in MRP systems to locate time traps and define what kind of improvement is necessary. This gives "eyes" to the improvement process. Many who advocated Lean or Six Sigma separately were somewhat aloof about MRP systems. ERP systems have been criticized by some advocates of Lean because they claim it "pushes" unneeded material into the line, causing congestion and poor flow. Lean Six Sigma makes use of the ERP "order point" to trigger releases from the pull system to prevent congestion. Thus MRP systems are enablers of Lean Six Sigma, which in turn creates a significant return on investment on these systems.

The Power Is in the Total Process

Why do you need Lean Six Sigma? Superior speed, quality and cost are the engines driving productivity and revenue growth and sustained competitive advantage. Because of its speed in reducing process lead times, quality defects, cost, and invested capital, Lean Six Sigma provides common direction from the organizational leaders to managers and employees.

The Power Is in the Total Process

Understanding the Lean Six Sigma value proposition is a prerequisite for understanding what Lean Six Sigma really is and how to use it to greatest advantage. As you'll see in the next three chapters, there are essential cultural structures—such as true management engagement—and tools that are necessary for effective implementation. When these pieces are in place, Lean Six Sigma's relentless pursuit of product quality and process speed leads to corporate success and to personal success for the people who contribute to that journey. In a recent conference, Lockheed Martin summed up current thinking in the title of its presentation: "It's not Lean or Six Sigma, it's not Lean then Six Sigma, it's Lean and Six Sigma."

